

Summary statement regarding matters that have previously been raised during the examination and have not been resolved.

The Photomontage errors I have submitted twice have not, to the best of my knowledge, been addressed.

The evidence I provided clearly shows 3.5m high panels and not 4.5m high panels and shows unrealistic mitigation effects. This kind of public deception of the visual impacts of this solar plant should not have been tolerated. If this scheme is built, there will no doubt be a public outcry at the scale of these unprecedented structures and the amount of land they cover.

Mitigation promises made to us at initial consultation meetings were not kept. This is shameful and in no way satisfies Developer commitment to work with affected residents. I believe our home, due to its elevated position and its open views across proposed 4.5metre high solar array fields in all directions would make it the most solar scheme blighted private property in the UK. A Solar Industrialised Zone of 13,000 acres, of which this is part of, are for deserts or wastelands not residential areas or UK farmland.

Applicant's response to DL 4. SKS.01 My suggestions to relocate the proposed mitigation/wildlife fields to around our home, increasing the buffer zone, have been dismissed yet again, with the Developer thinking they can hide hundreds of acres of 4.5m structures behind new hedge planting. This would take a lifetime. Why will they not listen to us? A larger break between us and the solar arrays will obviously reduce harm, it is as simple as that. Our property value and future B&B income stream would be very much compromised by the scheme's unnecessary proximity and its clearly devastating industrial impact on the landscape. "Planting up" as soon as possible should be standard practice. The arrays located further away would resolve a major issue. Not even a small compromise has been secured during this process.

I also asked that Important Hedgerows H154 and H 155 to be removed from the DCO as there is no need for these to be included. These hedges provide screening, south and west. H154 belongs to us and not the landowner.

I have not even seen feedback on the rare breeding bird species found here, as detailed in my Written Representation on North Farm.

At the moment, I feel I have wasted 6 months and thousands of words, as I have seen no changes to the original and devastating plans which affect our home and surrounding countryside.

Only on the publication of the Examining Authority's report shall we find out if we were listened to.

I must submit again... Photomontage C6.4.8.14.76 (year1) Showing North and South sides of Willingham Road. The panels are not even close to 4.5m in height, which would be very close to the height of the pole transformer and well above the existing hedgerow.





Above is a photograph of me with an accurate 4.5m gauge stick in two separate positions, one to the North of Willingham Road and one to the South of Willingham Road. This represents a stark difference to the Applicants photomontage C6.4.8.14.76, which clearly shows panels a good metre shorter. 4.5 metre panels would evidently be disastrous in a rural setting such as this.



Photomontage C6.4.8.14.33 Showing unrealistic hedge density and background tree sizes. This would not happen in a 15-year period. The 4.5m Panels would be still clearly visible.



In the same field as C6.4.8.14.33. Myself with a 4.5m high gauge stick in the exact same position as the proposed hedgerow. Clearly showing the true horrors of a 4.5m high panel.

4.5m would block everything behind it when viewed from eye level, projecting far into the horizon, as clearly shown.

4.5m is nearly 3x the height of an average person.

I hope this shows how deceptive the Applicant has been and how these photomontages do not represent the worst-case scenario... 4.5m would be significantly worse than shown, panels of this size are not fit for the British countryside. They would be highly damaging and that is why they are not deployed in this country.

Thank you.

